arXiv:1610.05843v1 [math.OC] 19 Oct 2016

The Pontryagin's maximum principle for stochastic differential systems under state constraints

Shuzhen Yang*†

Abstract: In this study, we consider an optimal control problem driven by a stochastic differential equation with state constraints. Here, the state constraints mean the constraints about the path of state. In order to show the maximum principe for the optimal control problem under state constraints, we investigate a new near optimal control problem. In the following, we establish the stochastic maximum principle for the new optimal control problem under multi-time state constraints. In the end, we give a production planning example to verify the main results of this study.

Keywords: stochastic differential equations; stochastic maximum principle; constraints conditions; near optimal control

MSC2010: 93E03; 93E20; 60G99

1 Introduction

Optimal control under state constraints is a big literatures in the field of optimality, and there are many related applications in economics and mathematical finance. For the deterministic optimal control problem case, we refer Frankowska [4] for surveying the basic theory, such as optimal controls and the value function, and discuss necessary optimality conditions under state constrained control systems. See the monograph Vinter [19] for different forms of maximum principle under state constraints and Pesch and Plail [18] for some historical comments on the maximum principle. For the stochastic optimal control problem case, we refer Peng [15] for general maximum principle and

*Security Institute for Financial Studies, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, PR China. (yangsz@sdu.edu.cn). And Center for Mathematical Economics, Bielefeld University, Germany.

†This work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds of Shandong University (2015GN015); Supported by the China Scholarship Council (CSC);Supported by the Promotive research fund for excellent young and middle-aged scientists of Shandong Province (BS2015SF004); Supported by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation funded project (2015M570584).

terminal state constraints problem; refer Yong [25] for optimality variational principle for controlled forward-backward stochastic differential equations with mixed initial-terminal conditions.

Before to show the main topic of this study. Let us first consider an example of optimal production planning problem with the uncertainties demand and the state constraints in the productive cycle. Notice that, the demand of the society is always uncertainties which could be described by a stochastic differential equation as follows,

$$y(t) = y(0) + \int_0^t b(s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s)dW(s),$$

where $W(\cdot)$ is a standard Brownian motion under a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0\leq t\leq T}, P)$. In order to meet the demand, the factory will change the production rate $u(\cdot)$ with the demand $y(\cdot)$, i.e.,

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t \big[u(s) - y(s)\big]ds.$$

Consider the production capacity, the production rate $u(\cdot)$ may satisfy

$$0 \leq u(s) \leq K, \quad 0 \leq s \leq T.$$

In reality, the factory may have some limitation for inventory level $X(\cdot)$ in the productive cycle, i.e.,

$$0 \leq E[X(t)], \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \tag{1.1}$$

On the other hand, the factory need to pay the running cost for inventory and production, we denote it as $f(X(t), u(t))$ at time $t \in [0, T]$. Also, the factory need pay the disposal cost for inventory level $X(\cdot)$ at time T, in general, we denote it as $\Psi(X(T))$. Thus, the cost functional as follows,

$$J(u(\cdot)) = E\big[\int_0^T f(X(t), u(t))dt + \Psi(X(T))\big]. \tag{1.2}$$

In this study, we will investigate the maximum principle for the following general state processes with the cost functional (1.2),

$$X(s) = \int_0^s b(X(t), u(t))dt + \int_0^s \sigma(X(t), u(t))dW(t), \tag{1.3}$$

under state constraints conditions (1.1).

There are many works concerning the optimality of the state processes (1.3) with the cost functional (1.2) (which without the state constraints conditions (1.1)). We refer Bensoussan [1] and Bismut [2] for the local maximum principle with the convex

control set, and Peng [15] for the global maximum principle with general control domain which may not be convex, for more see [6]. Recalling that dynamic programming with related HJB (Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman) equations and maximum principle are powerful approaches for solving optimal control problems (see [15],[14], [20], [24] and [16]). The HJB equations derived for stochastic delay systems (see [3], [12] and [13]).

On the other hand, from the view of theory and applications of optimization, Zhou introduced the concept of near-optimization, more details see Zhou [26, 27, 28] and Zhou and Sethi [29]. Then many authors used the near optimization method to study switching LQ-roblem, stochastic recursive problem, linear forward backward stochastic systems and so on, see [7, 8, 9, 10].

In this study, we find it is difficult to investigate the maximum principle for the cost functional (1.2) under constraints conditions (1.1). Thus, we establish a near optimal control problem for this control problem via the following multi-time state constraints.

$$0 \leq E[X(t_i)], \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, \tag{1.4}$$

where $0 < t_1 < t_2 \cdots < t_n = T$.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we present the stochastic optimal control problem under state constraints. The existence of a near optimal control problem for our original control problem is given in Section 3. In Section 4, the maximum principle under multi-time state cost functional is investigated, and then we develop the maximum principle for cost functional (1.2) under multi-time state constraints (1.4). In Section 5, we generalize the constraints conditions (1.1) to cover more general model.

2 The optimal control problem

Let W be a d-dimensional standard Brownian motion defined on a complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\mathcal{F}(t)\}_{t\geq 0})$, where $\{\mathcal{F}(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is the P-augmentation of the natural filtration generated by the Brownian motion W.

Let $T > 0$ be given, consider the following controlled stochastic differential equation,

$$dX(s) = b(X(s), u(s))ds + \sigma(X(s), u(s))dW(s), \quad s \in (0, T], \tag{2.1}$$

with the initial condition $X(0) = x$, where $u(\cdot) = \{u(s), s \in [0, T]\}$ is a control process taking value in a set U of $\mathbb{R}^m$ and b, σ are given deterministic functions.

In this study, we consider the following cost functional,

$$J(u(\cdot)) = E\big[\int_0^T f(X(t), u(t))dt + \Psi(X(T))\big], \tag{2.2}$$

with state constraints,

$$0 \leq EX(t),\ 0 \leq t \leq T, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$b : \mathbb{R}^m \times U \to \mathbb{R}^m,$$

$$\sigma : \mathbb{R}^m \times U \to \mathbb{R}^{m\times d},$$

$$f : \mathbb{R}^m \times U \to \mathbb{R},$$

$$\Psi : \mathbb{R}^{m\times n} \to \mathbb{R},$$

we set $\sigma = (\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \cdots, \sigma^d)$, and $\sigma^j \in \mathbb{R}^m$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, d$.

Let b, σ, f uniformly continuous and satisfy the following Lispschitz and continuous conditions.

Assumption 2.1 *Suppose there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$|b(x_1, u) - b(x_2, u)| + |\sigma(x_1, u) - \sigma(x_2, u)| \leq c\,|x_1 - x_2|\,,$$

$\forall (x_1, u), (x_2, u) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times U$.

Assumption 2.2 *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{u\in\mathcal{U}[0,T]} E\big[\int_0^T \big[\,|b(0, u(t))|^2 + |\sigma(0, u(t))|^4\,\big]dt\big] \leq c.$$

Assumption 2.3 *Let b, σ, f, Ψ be second differentiable at x, and their derivatives in x are continuous in (x, u).*

Assumption 2.4 *There exists a constant $0 < c_1$ such that*

$$c_1\,|u_1 - u_2| \leq |\sigma(x, u_1) - \sigma(x, u_2)|\,,$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $u_1, u_2 \in U$.

Remark 2.5 *In general, we take a bounded control set U, then it is easily to check that Assumption 2.2 is satisfied. In the end, we will show an example to verify this assumption.*

Assumption 2.6 *We suppose that f, Ψ are continuous about x, u.*

Let $\mathcal{U}[0, T] = \{u(\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; U)\}$. In this study, we assume that $\mathcal{U}[0, T]$ is connected.

Remark 2.7 *We call a set $\mathcal{X}$ is connected, if which cannot be divided into two disjoint nonempty open sets. Furthermore, $\mathcal{X}$ cannot be divided into two disjoint nonempty closed sets if and only if the only subsets of $\mathcal{X}$ which are both open and closed (clopen sets) are $\mathcal{X}$ and the empty set.*

Suppose Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2 hold, then there exists a unique solution X for equation (2.1) (see [11]).

Minimize (2.2) over $\mathcal{U}[0,T]$ under constraints conditions (2.3), any $\bar{u}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0,T]$ satisfying

$$J(\bar{u}(\cdot)) = \inf_{u(\cdot)\in\mathcal{U}[0,T]} J(u(\cdot)) \tag{2.4}$$

is called an optimal control, for notation simplicity, we denote this optimal problem as **SOC**. The corresponding state trajectory $(\bar{u}(\cdot), \bar{X}(\cdot))$ are called an optimal state trajectory and optimal pair.

3 Near optimal control problem

Recalling that, the optimal control problem (2.2) under state constraints (2.3) is a difficult problem. To the best of our knowledge, there are few works about the initial or terminal state constraints for stochastic optimal control problem, we refer Peng [15] for the general maximum principle with terminal state constraints problem; refer the monograph Yong and Zhou [24] for maximum principle with general terminal state constraints.

In this section, we will develop a near optimal control problem via a approximation argument which helps us to understand the optimal control problem clearly under state constraints (2.3). In the following, we first introduce the definition of near optimal control for our original problem (2.2) under state constraints (2.3). For given integer $n > 0$ and $(t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_n)$ with $t_0 = 0, t_n = T$, let $(\tilde{u}^n(\cdot), \tilde{X}^n(\cdot))$ be an optimal pair of the cost functional (2.2) under the following constraints,

$$0 \leq EX(t_i),\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n. \tag{3.1}$$

Definition 3.1 *For any given $0 < \delta < 1$, if there exists an integer $n > 0$ and $(t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_n)$, such that*

$$|J(\tilde{u}^n(\cdot)) - J(\bar{u}(\cdot))| \leq o(\delta),$$

*where $(\bar{u}(\cdot), \bar{X}(\cdot))$ is an optimal pair of the problem **SOC**. Then, we call that the cost functional (2.2) under state constraints (3.1) is a near optimal control problem for the problem **SOC**.*

In order to prove that there exists a near optimal control problem for the problem **SOC**. We will give some preliminary lemmas.

Notice that, under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2, for any $u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0,T]$, the state equation (2.1) admits a unique solution. In the following, we denote that

$$\mathcal{A}[0,T] = \big\{(u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) : X^u(\cdot) \text{ is the solution of equation (2.1), for } u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0,T]\big\}, \tag{3.2}$$

for $(u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}[0,T]$, we define the norm on $\mathcal{A}[0,T]$ as

$$\|(u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot))\|_{\mathcal{A}[0,T]} = \big[\sup_{0\le t\le T} E\,|X^u(t)|^2\,\big]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \big[E\int_0^T |u(t)|^2\,dt\big]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now, we will derive some basic estimations for state processes which related with the control $u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0,T]$.

Lemma 3.2 *Let Assumptions 2.1, 2.2 hold. Then, for given $u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0,T]$, the solution $X^u(\cdot)$ of equation (2.1) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0\le t\le T} E\,|X^u(t)|^2 \le L_1(x,T)e^{6c^2T(1+T)}, \\ &\sup_{t\le r\le s} E\,|X^u(r) - X^u(t)|^2 \le L_2(x,T)e^{4c^2T(T+1)}(s-t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $0 \le t \le s \le T$, c is the Lipschitz constant in Assumptions 2.1 and

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(x,T) = \;& 6T \vee 6 \sup_{u\in\mathcal{U}[0,T]} E\big[\int_0^T \big[\,|b(0,u(t))|^2 + |\sigma(0,u(t))|^2 + \frac{|x|}{T}\big]dt\big], \\ L_2(x,T) = \;& 8 \sup_{u\in\mathcal{U}[0,T]} \big[E\int_0^T |b(0,u(t))|^2\,dt + \big[E\int_0^T |\sigma(0,u(t))|^4\,dt\big]^{\frac{1}{2}}\big] \\ & +8(T+1)L_1(x,T)e^{6c^2T(1+T)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: By equation (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |X^u(t)|^2 \le \;& 3\,|x|^2 + 3\left|\int_0^t b(X^u(s),u(s))ds\right|^2 + 3\left|\int_0^t \sigma(X^u(s),u(s))dW(s)\right|^2 \\ \le \;& 3\,|x|^2 + 6c^2T\int_0^T |X^u(s)|^2\,ds + 6T\int_0^T |b(0,u(s))|^2\,ds \\ & +6\left|\int_0^T \big[\sigma(X^u(s),u(s)) - \sigma(0,u(s))\big]dW(s)\right|^2 + 6\left|\int_0^T \sigma(0,u(s))dW(s)\right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on both sides of the above equation, then, by B-D-G inequality, it follows that

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T} E\,|X^u(t)|^2 \le \;\; L_1(x,T) + (6c^2 + 6c^2T)\int_0^T \sup_{0\le t\le s} E\,|X^u(t)|^2\,ds, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$L_1(x,T) = 6T \vee 6 \sup_{u\in\mathcal{U}[0,T]} E\big[\int_0^T \big[\,|b(0,u(t))|^2 + |\sigma(0,u(t))|^2 + \frac{|x|}{T}\big]dt\big],$$

with $6T \vee 6 = \max(6T, 6)$. Now, by Gronwall inequality, we obtain the first inequality,

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T} E\,|X^u(t)|^2 \le L_1(x,T)e^{6c^2T(1+T)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly, by equation (2.1), for $t \le r \le s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|X^u(r) - X^u(t)|^2 \le\ & 2\left|\int_t^r b(X^u(h),u(h))dh\right|^2 + 2\left|\int_t^r \sigma(X^u(h),u(h))dW(h)\right|^2 \\
\le\ & 4c^2(s-t)\int_t^r |X^u(h)-X^u(t)|^2\,dh + 4(s-t)\int_t^r |b(X^u(t),u(h))|^2\,dh \\
& +4\left|\int_t^r \big[\sigma(X^u(h),u(h)) - \sigma(X^u(t),u(h))\big]dW(h)\right|^2 \\
& +4\left|\int_t^r \sigma(X^u(t),u(h))dW(h)\right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on both sides of the above equation, then, by B-D-G inequality and equation (3.5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{t\le r\le s} E\,|X^u(r) - X^u(t)|^2 \\
\le\ & (4c^2 + 4c^2(s-t))\int_t^s \sup_{t\le r\le h} E\,|X^u(r)-X^u(t)|^2\,dh \\
& +8(s-t)E\int_0^T |b(0,u(h))|^2\,dh + 8(s-t)T\sup_{0\le t\le T} E\,|X^u(t)|^2 \\
& +8(s-t)\big[E\int_0^T |\sigma(0,u(h))|^4\,dh\big]^{\frac12} + 8(s-t)\sup_{0\le t\le T} E\,|X^u(t)|^2 \\
\le\ & (s-t)L_2(x,T) + (4c^2+4c^2T)\int_t^s \sup_{t\le r\le h} E\,|X^u(r)-X^u(t)|^2\,dh,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2(x,T) = \ & 8\sup_{u\in\mathcal{U}[0,T]}\big[E\int_0^T |b(0,u(t))|^2\,dt + \big[E\int_0^T |\sigma(0,u(t))|^4\,dt\big]^{\frac12}\big] \\
& +8(T+1)L_1(x,T)e^{6c^2T(1+T)},
\end{aligned}$$

which deduce that

$$\sup_{t\le r\le s} E\,|X^u(s) - X^u(t)|^2 \le L_2(x,T)e^{4c^2T(T+1)}(s-t).$$

This completes the proof. □

In the below, we give a lemma which describes the communication of the set $\mathcal{A}[0,s]$ with $0 \le s \le T$.

Lemma 3.3 *Suppose Assumptions 2.1, 2.2 hold. For given* $0 \leq s \leq T$, $(u_1(\cdot), X^{u_1}(\cdot))$, $(u_2(\cdot), X^{u_2}(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}[0,s]$, *if* $EX^{u_1}(s) < EX^{u_2}(s)$, *for any constant* K *which satisfies* $EX^{u_1}(s) < K < EX^{u_2}(s)$. *Then, there exists a control* $u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0,s]$ *such that* $(u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}[0,s]$ *and*

$$EX^u(s) = K.$$

Proof: For given $0 \leq s \leq T$, we suppose the assertion of this lemma is not right. Then, there exists a constant K_0 satisfying $EX^{u_1}(s) < K_0 < EX^{u_2}(s)$ and we have

$$\mathcal{U}^0[0,s] = \big\{u(\cdot) : EX^u(s) = K_0\big\} = \emptyset. \tag{3.7}$$

In the following, we denote by

$$\mathcal{U}^1[0,s] = \big\{u(\cdot) : EX^u(s) \leq K_0\big\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}^2[0,s] = \big\{u(\cdot) : EX^u(s) \geq K_0\big\}.$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{U}^1[0,s]$ and $\mathcal{U}^2[0,s]$ are not empty set, indeed, $u_1(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}^1[0,s]$ and $u_2(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}^2[0,s]$.

By equality (3.7) and the definition of $\mathcal{U}^1[0,s]$ and $\mathcal{U}^2[0,s]$, we can verify that they are close sets and satisfying

$$\mathcal{U}^1[0,s] \bigcap \mathcal{U}^2[0,s] = \emptyset$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}^1[0,s] \bigcup \mathcal{U}^2[0,s] = \mathcal{U}[0,s].$$

Notice that $\mathcal{U}[0,s]$ is connect, this makes a contradiction since $\mathcal{U}[0,s]$ is the biggest set.

This completes the proof. □

For given $0 < \delta < 1$, integer $n > 0$ and $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$, we denote

$$\mathcal{A}^0[0,T] = \big\{(u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) : (u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}[0,T], 0 \leq EX^u(t_i),\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\big\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}^\delta[0,T] = \big\{(u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) : (u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}[0,T], -\delta \leq EX^u(t_i),\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\big\}.$$

Following Lemma 3.3, we have the next results.

Lemma 3.4 *Suppose Assumptions 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4 hold. If $\mathcal{A}^0[0,T]$ is not a empty set, then, for any $(u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}^\delta[0,T]$ with $0 < \delta < 1$, there exists $(u^0(\cdot), X^{u^0}(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}^0[0,T]$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|(u(\cdot) - u^0(\cdot), X^u(\cdot) - X^{u^0}(\cdot))\|_{\mathcal{A}[0,T]} \leq C\sqrt{\delta}.$$

Proof: Since $\delta > 0$, by the definitions of $\mathcal{A}^0[0,T]$ and $\mathcal{A}^\delta[0,T]$, one obtain

$$\mathcal{A}^0[0,T] \subset \mathcal{A}^\delta[0,T].$$

If $(u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}^0[0,T]$, we just take $(u^0(\cdot), X^{u^0}(\cdot)) = (u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot))$. Thus ,we only need to consider the case where

$$(u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}^{\delta,0}[0,T] = \mathcal{A}^\delta[0,T] - \mathcal{A}^0[0,T].$$

Without lose of generality, we assume that $-\delta \leq EX^u(t_i) < 0$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Next, we first prove that there exists $(u^0(\cdot), X^{u^0}(\cdot))$ such that

$$EX^{u^0}(t_i) = 0, \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$$

in the following Step.

Step 1: Notice that $\mathcal{A}^0[0,T]$ is not a empty set, thus there exists $(u^1(\cdot), X^{u^1}(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}^0[0,T]$, for $0 \leq s \leq T$ such that

$$X^{u^1}(s) = x + \int_0^s b(X^{u^1}(t), u^1(t))dt + \int_0^s \sigma(X^{u^1}(t), u^1(t))dW(t),$$

and $0 \leq EX^{u^1}(t_i)$ with $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n = T$. On the other hand, $(u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}^{\delta,0}[0,T]$ satisfies

$$X^u(t_1) = x + \int_0^{t_1} b(X^u(t), u(t))dt + \int_0^{t_1} \sigma(X^u(t), u(t))dW(t),$$

and $-\delta \leq EX^u(t_1) < 0$. Now, following the results in Lemma 3.3, there exists $(u^{11}(\cdot), X^{u^{11}}(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}^0[0,t_1]$ such that

$$EX^{u^{11}}(t_1) = 0.$$

We set

$$\mathcal{B}[0,t_2] = \big\{(u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) : (u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}[0,t_2], EX^u(t_1) = EX^u(t_2) = 0\big\},$$

If $\mathcal{B}[0,t_2]$ is not a empty set, we finish this step. However, if $\mathcal{B}[0,t_2]$ is a empty set, we denote that

$$\mathcal{B}^1[0,t_2] := \big\{(u(\cdot),X^u(\cdot)) : (u(\cdot),X^u(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}[0,t_2], EX^u(t_1), EX^u(t_2) < 0\big\},$$

and $\mathcal{B}^2[0,t_2] := \mathcal{B}^1[0,t_2] \bigcup \mathcal{B}[0,t_2]$. Notice that $EX^u(t_1), EX^u(t_2) < 0$ and $EX^{u^1}(t_1), EX^{u^1}(t_2) > 0$, thus $\mathcal{B}^2[0,t_2]$ is not a empty, close and open set. Similar with Lemma 3.3, this makes a contradiction with $\mathcal{B}[0,t_2]$ is a empty set. Similarly, we can prove that there exists $(u^0(\cdot),X^{u^0}(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}^0[0,T]$ and satisfying

$$EX^{u^0}(t_i) = 0,\ i = 1,2,\cdots,n.$$

Step 2: In this step, we prove that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|(u(\cdot)-u^0(\cdot),X^u(\cdot)-X^{u^0}(\cdot))\|_{\mathcal{A}[0,T]} \le C\sqrt{\delta}.$$

Assumption 2.4 and Lemma 3.7 play a crucial role in this step. By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\sup_{t\le r\le s} E\left|X^u(r)-X^u(t)\right|^2 \le L_2(x,T)e^{4c^2T(T+1)}(s-t)$$

and

$$\sup_{t\le r\le s} E\left|X^{u^0}(r)-X^{u^0}(t)\right|^2 \le L_2(x,T)e^{4c^2T(T+1)}(s-t).$$

In the following, we set

$$\Delta\pi := \max_{1\le i\le n}\Delta t_i,$$

where $\Delta t_i = t_i - t_{i-1},\ i = 1,2,\cdots,n$. Now, let $\Delta\pi \le \delta^2$ and notice that $(u(\cdot),X^u(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}^\delta[0,T]$ and $(u^0(\cdot),X^{u^0}(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}^0[0,T]$, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\sup_{0\le r\le T} E\big[\,|X^u(r)|^2 + \left|X^{u^0}(r)\right|^2\,\big] \le \frac{C_1\delta^2}{2},$$

where $C_1 > 0$ dependent on x,T, which deduce that

$$\sup_{0\le r\le T} E\big[\,\left|X^{u^0}(r)-X^u(r)\right|^2\,\big] \le C_1\delta^2. \tag{3.8}$$

Recalling that for any $0 \le s \le T$,

$$X^{u^0}(s) = x + \int_0^s b(X^{u^0}(t),u^0(t))dt + \int_0^s \sigma(X^{u^0}(t),u^0(t))dW(t) \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$X^u(s) = x + \int_0^s b(X^u(t),u(t))dt + \int_0^s \sigma(X^u(t),u(t))dW(t). \tag{3.10}$$

Combining equations (3.9) and (3.10), one obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & X^{u^0}(s) - X^u(s) \\ = & \int_0^s \big[b(X^{u^0}(t), u^0(t)) - b(X^u(t), u(t))\big] dt \\ & + \int_0^s \big[\sigma(X^{u^0}(t), u^0(t)) - \sigma(X^u(t), u(t))\big] dW(t). \end{aligned}$$

By Itô formula to $\left|X^{u^0}(s) - X^u(s)\right|^2$ and taking expectation, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left|X^{u^0}(T) - X^u(T)\right|^2 \\ = & E\int_0^T \left\langle X^{u^0}(t) - X^u(t), b(X^{u^0}(t), u^0(t)) - b(X^u(t), u(t))\right\rangle dt \\ & + E\int_0^T \left|\sigma(X^{u^0}(t), u^0(t)) - \sigma(X^u(t), u(t))\right|^2 dt \\ \geq & -\big[T \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} E\left|X^{u^0}(t) - X^u(t)\right|^2\big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \big[E\int_0^T \left|b(X^{u^0}(t), u^0(t)) - b(X^u(t), u(t))\right|^2 dt\big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + E\int_0^T \left|\sigma(X^{u^0}(t), u^0(t)) - \sigma(X^u(t), u^0(t)) + \sigma(X^u(t), u^0(t)) - \sigma(X^u(t), u(t))\right|^2 dt \\ \geq & -\big[T \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} E\left|X^{u^0}(t) - X^u(t)\right|^2\big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \big[E\int_0^T \left|b(X^{u^0}(t), u^0(t)) - b(X^u(t), u(t))\right|^2 dt\big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & - E\int_0^T \left|\sigma(X^{u^0}(t), u^0(t)) - \sigma(X^u(t), u^0(t))\right|^2 dt \\ & + \frac{1}{2}E\int_0^T \left|\sigma(X^u(t), u^0(t)) - \sigma(X^u(t), u(t))\right|^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality comes from the following inequality,

$$(a+b)^2 \geq \frac{b^2}{2} - a^2,$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. By equation (3.8) and Assumptions 2.1 and 2.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} C_1\delta^2 \geq & E\left|X^{u^0}(T) - X^u(T)\right|^2 \\ \geq & -\sqrt{TC_1}C_0\delta - Tc^2\delta^2 + \frac{c_1}{2}E\int_0^T [u^0(t) - u(t)]^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_0 = \big[E\int_0^T \left|b(X^{u^0}(t), u^0(t)) - b(X^u(t), u(t))\right|^2 dt\big]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, c is the Lipschtiz constant in Assumption 2.1 and c_1 comes from Assumption 2.4. Thus, we obtain

$$\big[E\int_0^T [u^0(t) - u(t)]^2 dt\big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2[\sqrt{TC_1}C_0 + Tc^2 + C_1]}{c_1}}\sqrt{\delta}. \tag{3.11}$$

Let $C = \sqrt{\dfrac{2[\sqrt{TC_1}C_0 + Tc^2 + C_1]}{c_1}} + \sqrt{C_1}$, then combining equations (3.8) and (3.11), it follows that

$$\|(u(\cdot) - u^0(\cdot), X^u(\cdot) - X^{u^0}(\cdot))\|_{\mathcal{A}[0,T]} \leq C\sqrt{\delta},$$

which conclude the proof. $\square$

Theorem 3.5 *Suppose Assumptions 2.1, 2.2, 2.4 and 2.6 hold. The problem* ***SOC*** *exists a near optimal control problem.*

Proof: Without lose of generality, we assume that the problem **SOC** has an optimal pair $(\bar{u}(\cdot), \bar{X}(\cdot))$. In the following, we will prove that there exists integer $n > 0$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$, and an optimal pair $(\tilde{u}^n(\cdot), \tilde{X}^n(\cdot))$ for the cost functional (2.2) under constraints conditions (3.1) such that

$$|J(\tilde{u}^n(\cdot)) - J(\bar{u}(\cdot))| \leq o(\delta).$$

For given $0 < \delta < 1$, integer $n > 0$ and $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$, we denote that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-\delta}[0,T] = \big\{(u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) : (u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}[0,T], \delta \leq EX^u(t_i),\ i = 1, \cdots, n\big\}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\delta}[0,T] = \big\{(u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) : (u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}[0,T], -\delta \leq EX^u(t_i),\ i = 1, \cdots, n\big\}.$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}[0,T] = \big\{(u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) : (u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}[0,T], 0 \leq EX^u(t),\ 0 \leq t \leq T\big\}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we can choice a large enough n such that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-\delta}[0,T] \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}[0,T] \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\delta}[0,T].$$

Then, using the similarly argument in Lemma 3.4, for any $(u(\cdot), X^u(\cdot)) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\delta}[0,T]$, there exists $(u^n(\cdot), X^{u^n}(\cdot)) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-\delta}[0,T]$ such that

$$\|(u(\cdot) - u^n(\cdot), X^u(\cdot) - X^{u^n}(\cdot))\|_{\mathcal{A}[0,T]} \leq C\sqrt{\delta},$$

which deduce that for an optimal pair $(\tilde{u}^n(\cdot), \tilde{X}^n(\cdot))$ of the cost functional (2.2) under the following constraints conditions,

$$0 \leq E\tilde{X}^u(t_i),\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n,$$

there exists $(\tilde{u}(\cdot), \tilde{X}(\cdot)) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-\delta}[0,T]$ such that

$$\|(\tilde{u}(\cdot) - \tilde{u}^n(\cdot), \tilde{X}(\cdot) - \tilde{X}^n(\cdot))\|_{\mathcal{A}[0,T]} \leq C\sqrt{\delta}. \tag{3.12}$$

Recalling that

$$J(\tilde{u}(\cdot)) = E\big[\int_0^T f(\tilde{u}(t), \tilde{X}(t))dt + \Psi(\tilde{X}(T))\big]$$

and

$$J(\tilde{u}^n(\cdot)) = E\big[\int_0^T f(\tilde{u}^n(t), \tilde{X}^n(t))dt + \Psi(\tilde{X}^n(T))\big].$$

By equation (3.12) and Assumption 2.6, we have

$$0 \leq J(\tilde{u}(\cdot)) - J(\tilde{u}^n(\cdot)) \leq o(\delta). \tag{3.13}$$

On the other hand, we assume that $(\bar{u}(\cdot), \bar{X}(\cdot)) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}[0,T]$ is an optimal pair of the problem **SOC**, which deduce that

$$J(\tilde{u}^n(\cdot)) \leq J(\bar{u}(\cdot)) \leq J(\tilde{u}(\cdot)). \tag{3.14}$$

Then, combining equations (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain

$$|J(\bar{u}(\cdot)) - J(\tilde{u}^n(\cdot))| \leq o(\delta).$$

This completes this proof. □

Remark 3.6 *Recalling that in the proof of Lemma 3.4, Assumption 2.4 is used to prove the approximation of the control. If we remove the Assumption 2.4, following the proof of Theorem 3.5, we can prove that the problem* ***SOC*** *has a near optimal control problem if f independent of u.*

4 Near maximum principle under state constraints

4.1 Maximum principle under multi-time state constraints

In this subsection, let us consider the following cost functional without constraints conditions,

$$J(u(\cdot)) = E\big[\int_0^T f(X(t), u(t))dt + \Psi(X(T)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} X(t_j)\big], \tag{4.1}$$

with state equation (2.1). Notice that, we consider a general control domain U which does not need to be convex. The main difficult is to investigate the variational equation and adjoint equation. In the following, we introduce the first-order and second-order adjoint equations as follows:

The first-order adjoint equations are

$$\begin{aligned} -dp(t) &= \{b_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))^{\mathrm{T}}p(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{d}\sigma_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))^{\mathrm{T}}q^j(t) \\ &\quad -f_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))\}dt-q(t)dW(t),\ t\in(t_{i-1},t_i), \\ p(t_i) &= -1-\Psi_x(\bar{X}(T))1_{i=n}(i)+p(t_i^+),\quad i=1,2,\cdots,n, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where "T" means the transform of vector or matrix, t_i^+ is the right limit of t_i, and $p(t_n^+)=0$.

Denote that

$$H(x,u,p,q)=b(x,u)^{\mathrm{T}}p+\sum_{j=1}^{d}\sigma^j(x,u)^{\mathrm{T}}q^j-f(x,u),$$

where $(x,u,p,q)\in\mathbb{R}^m\times U\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}$.

The second-order adjoint equations are

$$\begin{aligned} -dP(t) = &\ \{b_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))^{\mathrm{T}}P(t)+P(t)b_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t)) \\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{d}\sigma_x^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))^{\mathrm{T}}P(t)\sigma_x^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t)) \\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left[\sigma_x^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))^{\mathrm{T}}Q^j(t)+Q^j(t)\sigma_x^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))\right] \\ &+H_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t),p(t),q(t))\}dt-Q(t)dW(t), \\ -P(T) = &\ \Psi_{xx}(\bar{X}(T)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

The main result is the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1 *Let Assumptions (2.1)-(2.3) hold, and $(\bar{u}(\cdot),\bar{X}(\cdot))$ be an optimal pair of (4.1). Then there exists $(p(\cdot),q(\cdot))$ and $(P(\cdot),Q(\cdot))$ satisfying the series of first-order adjoint equations (4.2) and second-order adjoint equations (4.3) and respectively such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &H(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t),p(t),q(t))-H(\bar{X}(t),u,p(t),q(t))) \\ \geq\ &\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left[\sigma^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))-\sigma(\bar{X}(t),u)\right]^{\mathrm{T}}P(t)\left[\sigma^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))-\sigma(\bar{X}(t),u)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

for any $u\in U$ and $t\in(t_{i-1},t_i)$, $i=1,2,\cdots,n$.

We will use the so called spike variation technique. Let $(\bar{u}(\cdot), \bar{X}(\cdot))$ be the given optimal pair of cost functional (4.1). Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and $E_\varepsilon = [v, v+\varepsilon] \subset (t_{i-1}, t_i)$, for some $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. Let $u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0,T]$ be any given control. We define the following

$$u^\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} \bar{u}(t), & \text{if } t \in [0,T] \backslash E_\varepsilon, \\ u(t), & \text{if } t \in E_\varepsilon, \end{cases}$$

obviously, $u^\varepsilon(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0,T]$. The following Lemma is useful for proving the Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 4.2 *Let Assumptions (2.1), (2.2) hold, and $X^\varepsilon(\cdot)$ be the solution of equation (2.1) under the control $u^\varepsilon(\cdot)$, and $y(\cdot)$, $z(\cdot)$ be the solutions of the following equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} dy(t) = \; & b_x(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t)) y(t) dt + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \big[\sigma_x^j(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t)) y(t) \\ & + \sigma^j(\bar{X}(t), u^\varepsilon(t)) - \sigma^j(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t))\big] dW^j(t), \\ y(0) = \; & 0, \quad t \in (0,T]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dz(t) = \; & \big[b_x(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t)) z(t) + \tfrac{1}{2} b_{xx}(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t)) (y(t))^2 \\ & + b(\bar{X}(t), u^\varepsilon(t)) - b(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t))\big] dt, \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \big\{\sigma_x^j(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t)) z(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{xx}^j(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t)) (y(t))^2 \\ & + (\sigma_x^j(\bar{X}(t), u^\varepsilon(t)) - \sigma_x^j(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t))) y(t)\big\} dW^j(t), \\ z(0) = \; & 0, \quad t \in (0,T]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{t\in[0,T]} E\,|y(t)| = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}), \\ & \max_{t\in[0,T]} E\,|z(t)| = O(\varepsilon), \\ & \max_{t\in[0,T]} E\,\big|X^\varepsilon(t) - \bar{X}(t) - y(t)\big| = O(\varepsilon), \\ & \max_{t\in[0,T]} E\,\big|X^\varepsilon(t) - \bar{X}(t) - y(t) - z(t)\big| = o(\varepsilon) \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & J(u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot)) - J(\bar{u}(\cdot)) \\ = \; & E\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y(t_i)+z(t_i)) + E\Phi_x(\bar{X}(t_n))(y(t_n)+z(t_n)) + E\Phi_{xx}(\bar{X}(t_n))y(t_n)y(t_n) \\ & + E\int_0^T \{f_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t)+z(t)) + \tfrac{1}{2}f_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2 \\ & + f(\bar{X}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t)) - f(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))\}dt + o(\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Proof: By the technique in Lemma 1 of [15], we can prove the equation (4.7).

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & J(u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot)) - J(\bar{u}(\cdot)) \\ = \; & E\big[\Psi(X^{\varepsilon}(T)) - \Psi(\bar{X}(T)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\big[X^{\varepsilon}(t_i)) - \bar{X}(t_i)\big] \\ & + \int_0^T \{f(X^{\varepsilon}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t)) - f(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))\}dt\big]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

By equation (4.7), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & J(u^{\varepsilon}(t)) - J(\bar{u}(t)) \\ = \; & E\big[\Psi_x(\bar{X}(t_n))(y(t_n)+z(t_n)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(y(t_i)+z(t_i)) + \frac{1}{2}\Psi_{xx}(\bar{X}(t_n))(y(t_n),y(t_n)) \\ & + \int_0^T f_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t)+z(t)) + \tfrac{1}{2}f_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2 \\ & + f(\bar{X}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t)) - f(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))\}dt\big] + o(\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

This completes the proof. □

Based on the above Lemma, we now carry out the proof for Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. For $t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i)$, applying the differential chain rule to

$p(t)^{\mathrm{T}}(y(t)+z(t))$, and by Assumption 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\big[p(t_i)^{\mathrm{T}}(y(t_i)+z(t_i)) - p(t_{i-1}^+)^{\mathrm{T}}(y(t_{i-1})+z(t_{i-1})\big] \\
= \;& E\big[-(1+\Phi_x(X(t_n))1_{i=n}(i))(y(t_i)+z(t_i)) + p(t_i^+)(y(t_i)+z(t_i)) \\
& -p(t_{i-1}^+)(y(t_{i-1})+z(t_{i-1}))\big] \\
= \;& E\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \big[f_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t)+z(t)) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}p(t)^{\mathrm{T}}b_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2 + p(t)^{\mathrm{T}}(b(\bar{X}(t),u^\varepsilon(t)) - b(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{d}[\frac{1}{2}q^j(t)^{\mathrm{T}}\sigma_{xx}^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2 + q^j(t)^{\mathrm{T}}(\sigma(\bar{X}(t),u^\varepsilon(t)) - \sigma(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t)))]\big]\,dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Adding by i on the both sides of equation (4.11), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\sum_{i=1}^{n}\{(p(t_i)(y(t_i)+z(t_i)) - p(t_{i-1}^+)(y(t_{i-1})+z(t_{i-1}))\} \\
= \;& E\sum_{i=1}^{n}\{(-1-\Psi_x(\bar{X}(t_n))1_{i=n}(i))(y(t_i)+z(t_i)) \\
& +p(t_i^+)(y(t_i)+z(t_i)) - p(t_{i+1}^+)(y(t_{i+1})+z(t_{i+1}))\} \\
= \;& E\{-\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y(t_i)+z(t_i)) - \Psi_x(\bar{X}(t_n))(t_n)+z(t_n))\} \\
= \;& E\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \big[f_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t)+z(t)) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{2}p(t)^{\mathrm{T}}b_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2 + p(t)^{\mathrm{T}}(b(\bar{X}(t),u^\varepsilon(t)) - b(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{d}[\frac{1}{2}q^j(t)^{\mathrm{T}}\sigma_{xx}^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2 + q^j(t)^{\mathrm{T}}(\sigma(\bar{X}(t),u^\varepsilon(t)) - \sigma(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t)))]\big]\,dt \\
= \;& E\int_{0}^{T} \big[f_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t)+z(t)) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{2}p(t)^{\mathrm{T}}b_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2 + p(t)^{\mathrm{T}}(b(\bar{X}(t),u^\varepsilon(t)) - b(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{d}[\frac{1}{2}q^j(t)^{\mathrm{T}}\sigma_{xx}^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2 + q^j(t)^{\mathrm{T}}(\sigma(\bar{X}(t),u^\varepsilon(t)) - \sigma(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t)))]\big]\,dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\{-\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y(t_i)+z(t_i))-\Psi_x(\bar{X}(t_n))(t_n)+z(t_n))\} \\
= \; & E\int_0^T \big[f_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t)+z(t)) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{2}p(t)^{\mathrm{T}}b_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2+p(t)^{\mathrm{T}}(b(\bar{X}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))-b(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{d}[\frac{1}{2}q^j(t)^{\mathrm{T}}\sigma^j_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2+q^j(t)^{\mathrm{T}}(\sigma(\bar{X}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))-\sigma(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t)))]\big]dt.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.12}
$$

Now, let $u(t)=u$ be a constant, and note that $E_\varepsilon=[v,v+\varepsilon]\subset[0,T]$. Combining equations (4.8) with (4.12) and noting the optimality of $\bar{u}(\cdot)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0\le \; & J(u^{\varepsilon}(\cdot))-J(\bar{u}(\cdot)) \\
= \; & E\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y(t_i)+z(t_i))+E\Phi_x(\bar{X}(t_n))(y(t_n)+z(t_n))+E\Phi_{xx}(\bar{X}(t_n))y(t_n)y(t_n) \\
& +E\int_0^T\{f_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t)+z(t))+\frac{1}{2}f_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2 \\
& +f(\bar{X}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))-f(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))\}dt+o(\varepsilon) \\
= \; & E\Phi_{xx}(\bar{X}(t_n))y(t_n)y(t_n)+E\int_0^T\{f_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t)+z(t))+\frac{1}{2}f_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2 \\
& +f(\bar{X}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))-f(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))\}dt+o(\varepsilon) \\
& -E\int_0^T\big[f_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t)+z(t)) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}p(t)^{\mathrm{T}}b_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2+p(t)^{\mathrm{T}}(b(\bar{X}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))-b(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{d}[\frac{1}{2}q^j(t)^{\mathrm{T}}\sigma^j_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2+q^j(t)^{\mathrm{T}}(\sigma(\bar{X}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))-\sigma(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t)))]\big]dt \\
= \; & E\Phi_{xx}(\bar{X}(t_n))y(t_n)y(t_n) \\
& +E\int_0^T\{\frac{1}{2}f_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2+f(\bar{X}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))-f(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t)) \\
& -\{\frac{1}{2}p(t)^{\mathrm{T}}b_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2+p(t)^{\mathrm{T}}(b(\bar{X}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))-b(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n}[\frac{1}{2}q^j(t)^{\mathrm{T}}\sigma^j_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))(y(t))^2+q^j(t)^{\mathrm{T}}(\sigma^j(\bar{X}(t),u^{\varepsilon}(t))-\sigma^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t)))]\}dt+o(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling that

$$H(x,u,p,q) = b(x,u)^{\mathrm{T}}p + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sigma^j(x,u)^{\mathrm{T}}q^j - f(x,u), \quad (x,u,p,q) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times U \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m\times d}.$$

Denote by $\bar{H}(t) := H(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t), p(t), q(t))$ and $H^\varepsilon(t) := H(\bar{X}(t), u^\varepsilon(t), p(t), q(t))$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} & J(u^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - J(\bar{u}(\cdot)) \\ = \;& E\Phi_{xx}(\bar{X}(t_n))y(t_n)y(t_n) - E\int_0^T \{\frac{1}{2}\bar{H}_{xx}(t)(y(t))^2 + H^\varepsilon(t) - \bar{H}(t)\}dt + o(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Similar with Lemma 4.2, applying Itô formula to $P(t)^{\mathrm{T}}(y(t))^2$ over (t_{i-1}, t_i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E\Phi_{xx}(\bar{X}(t_n))y(t_n)y(t_n) \\ = - \;& E\int_0^T \frac{1}{2}[\sum_{j=1}^{d}(\sigma^j(\bar{X}(t), u^\varepsilon(t)) - \sigma^j(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t)))^{\mathrm{T}}P(t)(\sigma(\bar{X}(t), u^\varepsilon(t)) - \sigma(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t))) \\ & + \bar{H}_{xx}(t)(y(t))^2] + o(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq \;& E\int_0^T \{H^\varepsilon(t) - \bar{H}(t) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}[\sum_{j=1}^{d}(\sigma^j(\bar{X}(t), u^\varepsilon(t)) - \sigma^j(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t)))^{\mathrm{T}}P(t)(\sigma(\bar{X}(t), u^\varepsilon(t)) - \sigma(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t)))\}dt. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

4.2 Maximum principle under constraints

In this section, following the results of Theorem 3.5, i.e., there exists integer $n > 0$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$ and an optimal pair $(\tilde{u}^n(\cdot), \tilde{X}^n(\cdot))$ for the cost functional (2.2) under constraints conditions (3.1) such that

$$|J(\tilde{u}^n(\cdot)) - J(\bar{u}(\cdot))| \leq o(\delta).$$

In the following, we will give the well known pontryagin's stochastic maximum principle for the cost functional (2.2) under constraints conditions (3.1), i.e., The cost functional as follows:

$$J(u(\cdot)) = E\big[\int_0^T f(X(t), u(t))dt + \Psi(X(T))\big], \tag{4.13}$$

the state process $X(\cdot)$ satisfies

$$0 \leq EX(t_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n. \tag{4.14}$$

In order to prove the main result of this section, we introduce the following Ekeland's variational principle which comes from Corollary 6.3 in [24].

Lemma 4.3 *Let $F : V \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function on a complete metric space $(V, \tilde{d})$. Given $\theta > 0$ and $v_0 \in V$ such that*

$$F(v_0) \leq \inf_{v \in V} F(v) + \theta.$$

Then there exists a $v_\theta \in V$ such that

$$F(v_\theta) \leq F(v_0), \quad \tilde{d}(v_\theta, v_0) \leq \sqrt{\theta},$$

and for all $v \in V$,

$$-\sqrt{\theta} d(v_\theta, v) \leq F(v) - F(v_\theta).$$

Next, we present the main results of this section, the related Hamiltonian as follows,

$$H(\beta^0, x, u, p, q) = b(x, u)^{\mathrm{T}} p + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sigma^j(x, u)^{\mathrm{T}} q^j - \beta^0 f(x, u),$$

whith $(\beta^0, x, u, p, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times U \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$.

Theorem 4.4 *Let Assumptions (2.1)-(2.3) hold, and $(\bar{u}(\cdot), \bar{X}(\cdot))$ be an optimal pair of (4.13). Then there exists $(\beta^0, \beta^1, \cdots, \beta^n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfying*

$$\beta^0 \geq 0, \quad \left|\beta^0\right|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left|\beta^j\right|^2 = 1,$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta^j (\gamma^j - E\bar{X}(t_j)) \leq 0, \ \gamma^j \leq 0, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, n,$$

and the adapted solution $(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))$ satisfying the following series of first-order adjoint equations,

$$\begin{aligned} -dp(t) = \ & \{b_x(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t))^{\mathrm{T}} p(t) + \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{d} \sigma_x^j(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t))^T q^j(t) \\ & -\beta^0 f_x(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t))\} dt - q(t) dW(t), \ t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i), \\ p(t_i) = \ & -(\beta^0 1_{i=n}(i) + \beta^i)[1 + \Psi_x(\bar{X}(t_n) 1_{i=n}(i)] + p(t_i^+), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

and second-order adjoint equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
-dP(t) = \quad & \{b_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))^{\mathrm{T}}P(t) + P(t)b_x(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t)) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sigma_x^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))^{\mathrm{T}}P(t)\sigma_x^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t)) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left[\sigma_x^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))^{\mathrm{T}}Q^j(t) + Q^j(t)\sigma_x^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t))\right] \\
& + H_{xx}(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t),p(t),q(t))\}dt - Q(t)dW(t), \\
-P(T) = \quad & \beta^0\Psi_{xx}(\bar{X}(T)),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

and respectively such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& H(\beta^0,\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t),p(t),q(t)) - H(\beta^0,\bar{X}(t),u,p(t),q(t))) \\
\geq \quad & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left[\sigma^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t)) - \sigma(\bar{X}(t),u)\right]^{\mathrm{T}}P(t)\left[\sigma^j(\bar{X}(t),\bar{u}(t)) - \sigma(\bar{X}(t),u)\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

for any $u \in U$ and $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1})$, $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$.

Proof: Without loss of generality, we assume that $J(\bar{u}(\cdot)) = 0$ where $(\bar{u}(\cdot), \bar{X}(\cdot))$ is the optimal pair of problem (4.13) with constraints (4.14). For any $\theta > 0$, we set

$$J^\theta(u(\cdot)) = \sqrt{\left[(J(u(\cdot)) + \theta)^+\right]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[(-EX^u(t_i))^+\right]^2}.$$

From Assumption 2.4, one can verify that $J^\theta : \mathcal{U}[0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and satisfies

$$J^\theta(\bar{u}(\cdot)) = \theta \leq \inf_{u\in\mathcal{U}[0,T]} J^\theta(u(\cdot)) + \theta. \tag{4.18}$$

Now, by Lemma 4.3, there exists a $u^\theta(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0,T]$ such that

$$J^\theta(u^\theta(\cdot)) \leq J^\theta(\bar{u}(\cdot)) = \theta,\ \tilde{d}(u^\theta(\cdot),\bar{u}(\cdot)) \leq \sqrt{\theta}, \tag{4.19}$$

where $\tilde{d}(u^1(\cdot),u^2(\cdot)) = M\{(t,\omega) \in [0,T]\times\Omega : u^1(t,\omega) \neq u^2(t,\omega)\}$, where M is the product measure of the lebesgue measure and Probability on the set of $[0,T]\times\Omega$. And we can check that $(\mathcal{U}[0,T],\tilde{d})$ is a complete metric space. Also, we have

$$-\sqrt{\theta}\tilde{d}(u^\theta(\cdot),u(\cdot)) \leq J^\theta(u(\cdot)) - J^\theta(u^\theta(\cdot)),\ \forall u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0,T],$$

which deduces that

$$J^\theta(u^\theta(\cdot)) + \sqrt{\theta}\tilde{d}(u^\theta(\cdot),u^\theta(\cdot)) \leq J^\theta(u(\cdot)) + \sqrt{\theta}\tilde{d}(u^\theta(\cdot),u(\cdot)),\ \forall u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0,T]. \tag{4.20}$$

Thus, inequality (4.20) shows that $(u^\theta(\cdot), X^\theta(\cdot))$ is the optimal pair for the following cost functional

$$J^\theta(u(\cdot)) + \sqrt{\theta}\tilde{d}(u^\theta(\cdot), u(\cdot)), \tag{4.21}$$

without the state constraint.

Since U is a general control domain, let $\rho > 0$ and $E_\rho = [v, v+\rho] \subset (t_{i-1}, t_i)$, for some $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. Let $u \in U$ be any given constant. We define the following

$$u^{\theta,\rho}(t) = \begin{cases} u^\theta(t), & \text{if } t \in [0,T]\backslash E_\rho, \\ u, & \text{if } t \in E_\rho, \end{cases}$$

which belongs to $\mathcal{U}[0,T]$. It is easy to verify that

$$\tilde{d}(u^{\theta,\rho}(\cdot), u^\theta(\cdot)) \leq \rho.$$

By equation (4.20), one obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\sqrt{\theta}\rho \leq \; & J^\theta(u^{\theta,\rho}(\cdot)) - J^\theta(u^\theta(\cdot)) \\ = \; & \frac{\big[(J(u^{\theta,\rho}(\cdot)) + \theta)^+\big]^2 - \big[(J(u^\theta(\cdot)) + \theta)^+\big]^2}{J^\theta(u^{\theta,\rho}(\cdot)) + J^\theta(u^\theta(\cdot))} \\ & + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \big[\big[(-EX^{\theta,\rho}(t_j))^+\big]^2 - \big[(-EX^\theta(t_j))^+\big]^2\big]}{J^\theta(u^{\theta,\rho}(\cdot)) + J^\theta(u^\theta(\cdot))}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

where $X^{\theta,\rho}(\cdot))$ and $X^\theta(\cdot))$ are the related solution of equation (2.1) with controls $u^{\theta,\rho}(\cdot)$ and $u^\theta(\cdot)$. Setting

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{0,\theta} &= \frac{\big[J(u^\theta(\cdot)) + \theta\big]^+}{J^\theta(u^\theta(\cdot))}, \\ \beta^{j,\theta} &= \frac{-\big[-EX^\theta(t_j)\big]^+}{J^\theta(u^\theta(\cdot))}, \; j = 1, 2, \cdots, n. \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Then, by the continuity of $J^\theta(\cdot)$ and Assumption 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & J^\theta(u^{\theta,\rho}(\cdot)) - J^\theta(u^\theta(\cdot)) \\ = \; & \beta^{0,\theta}\big[J(u^{\theta,\rho}(\cdot)) - J(u^\theta(\cdot))\big] + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta^{j,\theta}\big[EX^{\theta,\rho}(t_j) - EX^\theta(t_j)\big] + o(1), \\ = \; & E\big[\sum_{j=1}^n \beta^{j,\theta}(X^{\theta,\rho}(t_j) - X^\theta(t_j)) + \beta^{0,\theta}(\Psi(X^{\theta,\rho}(t_n)) - \Psi(X^\theta(t_n))) \\ & + \beta^{0,\theta}\int_0^T \big[f(X^{\theta,\rho}(t), u^{\theta,\rho}(t)) - f(X^\theta(t), u^\theta(t))\big]dt\big] + o(\rho), \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

where $o(1)$ converges to 0 when $\rho \to 0$.

Similar with Lemma 4.2, let $(\bar{X}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$ be replaced by $(X^\theta(t), u^\theta(t))$, $y(\cdot)$ be replaced by $\tilde{y}(\cdot)$ in equation (4.5), and $z(\cdot)$ be replaced by $\tilde{z}(\cdot)$ in equation (4.6). Thus, one obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
-\sqrt{\theta}\rho \leq\ & J^\theta(u^{\theta,\rho}(\cdot)) - J^\theta(u^\theta(\cdot)) \\
\leq\ & E\big[\beta^{0,\theta}\Psi_x(X^\theta(t_n))(\tilde{y}(t_n)+\tilde{z}(t_n)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta^{i,\theta}(\tilde{y}(t_i)+\tilde{z}(t_i)) \\
& +\beta^{0,\theta}\tfrac{1}{2}\Psi_{xx}(X^\theta(t_n))(\tilde{y}(t_n),\tilde{y}(t_n)) \\
& +\beta^{0,\theta}\int_0^T \big\{ f_x(X^\theta(t),u^\theta(t))(\tilde{y}(t)+\tilde{z}(t)) + \tfrac{1}{2}f_{xx}(X^\theta(t),u^\theta(t))(\tilde{y}(t))^2 \\
& +f(X^\theta(t),u^{\theta,\rho}(t)) - f(X^\theta(t),u^\theta(t))\}dt\big] + o(\rho).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

In addition, we introduce the following adjoint equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
-dp^\theta(t) =\ & \{b_x(X^\theta(t),u^\theta(t))^{\mathrm{T}}p^\theta(t) + \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_x^j(X^\theta(t),u^\theta(t))^{\mathrm{T}}q^{j,\theta}(t) \\
& -\beta^{0,\theta}f_x(X^\theta(t),u^\theta(t))\}dt - q^\theta(t)dW(t),\ t\in(t_{i-1},t_i), \\
p^\theta(t_i) =\ & -\beta^{i,\theta} - \beta^{0,\theta}E[\Psi_x(X^\theta(t_n))]1_{i=n}(i) + p(t_i^+),\quad i=1,\dots,n,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

where $q^\theta(\cdot) = (q^{1,\theta}(\cdot), q^{2,\theta}(\cdot), \cdots, q^{d,\theta}(\cdot))$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
-dP^\theta(t) =\ & \{b_x(X^\theta(t),u^\theta(t))^{\mathrm{T}}P^\theta(t) + P^\theta(t)b_x(X^\theta(t),u^\theta(t)) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_x^j(X^\theta(t),u^\theta(t))^{\mathrm{T}}P^\theta(t)\sigma_x^j(X^\theta(t),u^\theta(t)) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^d \big[\sigma_x^j(X^\theta(t),u^\theta(t))^{\mathrm{T}}Q^{j,\theta}(t) + Q^{j,\theta}(t)\sigma_x^j(X^\theta(t),u^\theta(t))\big] \\
& +H_{xx}(\beta^{0,\theta},X^\theta(t),u^\theta(t),p^\theta(t),q^\theta(t))\}dt - Q^\theta(t)dW(t), \\
-P^\theta(T) =\ & \beta^{0,\theta}\Psi_{xx}(\bar{X}(T)),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

where $Q^\theta(\cdot) = (Q^{1,\theta}(\cdot), Q^{2,\theta}(\cdot), \cdots, Q^{d,\theta}(\cdot))$. Now, using the duality relation as in the

proof of Theorem 4.1, it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} & o(1)+\sqrt{\theta} \\ \geq\ & E\int_0^T \{H^{\theta,\rho}(t,u^{\theta,\rho}(t))-H^{\theta}(t,X^{\theta}(t)) \\ & +\frac{1}{2}[\sum_{j=1}^{d}(\sigma^j(X^{\theta}(t),u^{\theta,\rho}(t))-\sigma^j(X^{\theta}(t),u^{\theta,\rho}(t)))^{\mathrm{T}}P^{\theta}(t)(\sigma(X^{\theta}(t),u^{\theta,\rho}(t))-\sigma(X^{\theta}(t),u^{\theta}(t)))\}dt. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H^{\theta}(t,u^{\theta}(t)):=H(\beta^{0,\theta},X^{\theta}(t),u^{\theta}(t),p^{\theta}(t),q^{\theta}(t))$$

and

$$H^{\theta,\rho}(t,u^{\theta,\rho}(t)):=H(\beta^{0,\theta},X^{\theta}(t),u^{\theta,\rho}(t),p^{\theta}(t),q^{\theta}(t)).$$

Notice that $o(1)\to 0$ when $\rho\to 0$. Thus, letting $\rho\to 0$, one obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\theta}\geq\ & H^{\theta}(t,u)-H^{\theta}(t,u^{\theta}(t)) \\ & +\frac{1}{2}[\sum_{j=1}^{d}(\sigma^j(X^{\theta}(t),u)-\sigma^j(X^{\theta}(t),u^{\theta,\rho}(t)))^{\mathrm{T}}P^{\theta}(t)(\sigma(X^{\theta}(t),u)-\sigma(X^{\theta}(t),u^{\theta}(t))). \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

From inequality (4.19), it follows that $u^{\theta}(\cdot)$ converges to $\bar{u}(\cdot)$ under $\tilde{d}$ as $\theta\to 0$. Then, by Assumptions 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4, and basic theory of stochastic differential equation, we have

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} E\left|X^{\theta}(t)-\bar{X}(t)\right|\to 0,$$

as $\theta\to 0$. By equation (4.23), we have

$$\left|\beta^{0,\theta}\right|^2+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\beta^{j,\theta}\right|^2=1. \tag{4.29}$$

Thus, we can choice a sequence $\{\theta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}\theta_k=0$ and such that the limitations of β^{0,θ_k} and β^{j,θ_k} exist and we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^0&=\lim_{\to\infty}\beta^{0,\theta_k}, \\ \beta^j&=\lim_{\to\infty}\beta^{j,\theta_k}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

with $j=1,2,\cdots,n$. From equation (4.29), we have

$$\left|\beta^0\right|^2+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\beta^j\right|^2=1,$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta^j(\gamma^j - E\bar{X}(t_i)) \geq 0,\ \gamma^j \geq 0,\ j = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} E\big[\ \Big|p^{\theta_k}(t) - p(t)\Big|^2 + \int_0^T \Big|q^{\theta_k}(t) - q(t)\Big|^2\ \big]dt \to 0,$$

as $k \to \infty$. Letting $k \to \infty$, from equation (4.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & H(\beta^0, \bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t), p(t), q(t)) - H(\beta^0, \bar{X}(t), u, p(t), q(t))) \\ \geq\ & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{d} \big[\sigma^j(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t)) - \sigma(\bar{X}(t), u)\big]^{\mathrm{T}} P(t) \big[\sigma^j(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t)) - \sigma(\bar{X}(t), u)\big], \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

for any $u \in U$ and $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1})$, $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$.

Thus, we complete this proof. □

Remark 4.5 *Similarly with the proof in Theorem 4.4, we can deal with other constraints conditions, i.e.,*

$$\underline{\alpha}_i \leq E(X(t_i)) \leq \overline{\alpha}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n,$$

where $(\underline{\alpha}_i, \overline{\alpha}_i)_{i=1}^n$ *are given constants.*

In the following, we will show an examples to describe the optimal production planning problem under state constraints.

Example 4.6 *In this example, we consider the optimal production planning problem which is given in Section 1. Let* $T = 1$*, the controlled stochastic differential equation as follows:*

$$X^u(s) = \int_0^s \big[u(t) - y(t)\big]dt, \tag{4.32}$$

where $y(\cdot)$ *denote the uncertainties of demand*

$$y(s) = \frac{8}{3}s - W(s)$$

and $u(\cdot) = \{u(s), 0 \leq s \leq 1\}$ *is a control process taking values in a compact set* $U = [0, 2]$*. Thus, we minimum the following cost functional*

$$J(u(\cdot)) = E[X^u(1)], \tag{4.33}$$

with the state constrains

$$0 \leq EX^u(t),\ \ 0 \leq t \leq T. \tag{4.34}$$

Substituting $X^u(\cdot)$ into equation (4.33), one obtain

$$J(u(\cdot)) = E[\int_0^1 (u(t) - \frac{8}{3}t)dt]$$

and we can verify that

$$(\bar{u}(t), \bar{X}(t)) = \begin{cases} (\frac{8}{3}t, \int_0^t W(s)ds) & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ (2, 2t - \frac{4}{3}t^2 - \frac{2}{3} + \int_0^t W(s)ds) & \frac{1}{2} < t \leq 1, \end{cases} \tag{4.35}$$

is an optimal pair of systems (4.33) under state constrains (4.34).

In the following, we investigate the near optimal control problem for cost functional (4.33) with the following state constraints, for any $t_i = \frac{i}{2N}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$

$$0 \leq E[X(t_i)], E[X(1)], \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, N. \tag{4.36}$$

And, it is easily to verify that

$$(\tilde{u}^N(t), \tilde{X}^N(t)) = \begin{cases} (\frac{2i-1}{4N}, \int_0^t W(s)ds) & \frac{i-1}{2N} \leq t \leq \frac{i}{2N}, \\ (2, 2t - \frac{4}{3}t^2 - \frac{2}{3} + \int_0^t W(s)ds) & \frac{1}{2} < t \leq 1, \end{cases} \tag{4.37}$$

is an optimal pair of systems (4.33) under multi-time state constraints (4.36). Based on the results in Section 3, the optimal control problem under multi-time state constraints (4.36) is a near optimal control problem under state constraints (4.34).

Next, we show the maximum principle for the near optimal control under multi-time state constraints (4.36). Notice that it is difficult to obtain the adjoint equation for state process (4.32). In order to get the related adjoint equations. We rewrite equation (4.32) as follows,

$$X^u(s) - W(s)s = \int_0^s (u(t) - \frac{8}{3}t)dt - \int_0^s tdW(t).$$

Denote that $\delta X^u(s) = X^u(s) - W(s)s$, thus

$$d\delta X^u(s) = [u(s) - \frac{8}{3}s]ds - sdW(s)$$

and we have

$$E[\delta X^u(1)] = E[X^u(1)],$$

which means that $(\bar{u}(t), \bar{X}(t) - W(s)s)$ is the optimal pair of the following cost functional,

$$\delta J(u(\cdot)) = E[\delta X^u(1)], \tag{4.38}$$

with the following constrains conditions,

$$0 \leq E[\delta X^u(t_i)], E[\delta X^u(1)],\ t_i = \frac{i}{2N},\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, N.$$

Next, we introduce the following first-order adjoint equations for functional (4.38).

$$\begin{aligned} dp(t) &= q(t)dW(t), \quad \tfrac{1}{2} < t < 1, \\ p(1) &= -(\beta^0 + \beta^{N+1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dp(t) &= q(t)dW(t), \quad \tfrac{i-1}{2N} < t < \tfrac{i}{2N}, \\ p(t_i) &= -\beta^i + p(t_i^+), \end{aligned}$$

and second-order adjoint equation

$$\begin{aligned} dP(t) = &\quad Q(t)dW(t), \\ P(T) = &\quad 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

where $(\beta^0, \beta^1, \cdots, \beta^{N+1})$ *comes from Theorem 4.4. The solutions of first-order and second-order adjoint equations as follows:*

$$(p(t), q(t)) = \begin{cases} (-(\beta^0 + \sum\limits_{j=i}^{N+1} \beta^i), 0) & \tfrac{i-1}{2N} < t \leq \tfrac{i}{2N}, \\ (-(\beta^0 + \beta^{N+1}), 0) & \tfrac{1}{2} < t \leq 1, \end{cases} \tag{4.40}$$

and

$$(P(t), Q(t)) = (0, 0). \tag{4.41}$$

Now, let $\beta^0 + \beta^{N+1} \leq 0$ *and* $\beta^0 + \sum\limits_{j=i}^{N+1} \beta^i = 0, i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$*, one obtain,*

$$\begin{aligned} & H(\beta^0, \bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t), p(t), q(t)) - H(\beta^0, \bar{X}(t), u, p(t), q(t))) \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{d} \left[\sigma^j(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t)) - \sigma(\bar{X}(t), u)\right]^{\mathrm{T}} P(t) \left[\sigma^j(\bar{X}(t), \bar{u}(t)) - \sigma(\bar{X}(t), u)\right] \qquad (4.42) \\ = \ & (\tilde{u}^N(t) - u)p(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(\tilde{u}^N(t) - u)p(t) = \begin{cases} -(\beta^0 + \sum\limits_{j=i}^{N+1} \beta^i))(\dfrac{2i-1}{4N} - u) & \tfrac{i-1}{2N} < t \leq \tfrac{i}{2N}, \\ -(\beta^0 + \beta^{N+1})(2 - u) & \tfrac{1}{2} < t \leq 1, \end{cases} \tag{4.43}$$

with $u \in [0, 2]$*, thus, the optimal control pair* $(\tilde{u}^N(\cdot), \tilde{X}^N(\cdot))$ *satisfies the Theorem 4.4.*

5 The general model

In this section, we consider a more general cost functional under state constraints. In the example of production planning problem, the factory may need to pay the disposal cost for inventory level $X(\cdot)$ at time $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_N)$ with $0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \cdots < \gamma_N = T$, in general, we denote the multi-time state cost functional as $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi(X(\gamma_i))$. Thus, the cost functional as follows,

$$J(u(\cdot)) = E\big[\int_0^T f(X(t), u(t))dt + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi(X(\gamma_i))\big], \tag{5.1}$$

and we will consider the following general state processes with the cost functional (5.4),

$$X(s) = \int_0^s b(X(t), u(t))dt + \int_0^s \sigma(X(t), u(t))dW(t), \tag{5.2}$$

under the following state constraints,

$$\alpha_j \leq E\psi(X(t_j)),\ j = 1, 2, \cdots, n. \tag{5.3}$$

Using the method developed in Section 3, we can prove that the optimal control problem (5.4) has a near optimal control problem under the constraints (5.3). Following the proof in Subsection 4.1, we can prove the maximum principle for near optimal control problem under the constraints (5.3) with the general control domain which may not be convex.

In our previous paper Yang [22], the stochastic maximum principle for the above stochastic differential systems (5.2) with a general cost functional (which without state constraints conditions) is developed. Further, in [22], the terminal cost functional is $\Psi(X_{[0,T]})$, where $X_{[0,T]} = X(s)_{0 \leq s \leq T}$. However, there are some strong assumptions about Fréchet derivatives in [22], and the structure of which is too complicity, for more details see [5, 21]. Thus, in order to remove some strong assumptions in [22], we investigate an optimal control problem with the following multi-time state cost functional,

$$J(u(\cdot)) = E\big[\int_0^T f(X(t), u(t))dt + \Psi(X(\gamma_1), X(\gamma_2), \cdots, X(\gamma_N))\big], \tag{5.4}$$

and a convex control domain U in [23]. The above results are related with this study.

References

[1] A. Bensoussan. Lecture on stochastic control, in Nonlinear Filtering and Stochastic Control, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 972, Proc. Cortona, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1981.

[2] J. Bismut. An introductory approach to duality in optimal stochastic control, SIAM Rev., 20, 62–78, 1978.

[3] L. Chen, Z. Wu. Dynamic Programming Principle for Stochastic Recursive Optimal Control Problem with Delayed Systems. ESIAM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations, 18, 1005–1026, 2012.

[4] H, Frankowska. Optimal control under state constraints, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians Hyderabad, India, 2010.

[5] Q. Gao, S. Yang. Maximum principle for forward-backward SDEs with a general cost functional. International journal of control, 1–7, 2016.

[6] U. Haussmann. General necessary conditions for optimal control of stochastic system, Math. Programming Stud., 6, 34–48, 1976.

[7] J. Huang, X. Li, G. Wang. Near-optimal control problems for linear forward backward stochastic systems, Automatica, 46, 397–404, 2010.

[8] J. Huang, X. Li, G. Wang. Maximum principles for a class of partial information risk-sensitive optimal controls, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 55, 1438–1443, 2010.

[9] E. Hui, J. Huang, X. Li, G. Wang. Near-optimal control for stochasic recursive problems, Systems Control Letters, 60, 161–168, 2011.

[10] Y. Liu, G. Yin, X.Y. Zhou. Near-optimal controls of random-switching LQ problems with indefinite control weight costs, Automatica, 41, 1063–1070, 2005.

[11] R. S. Lipster and A. N. Shiryaev. Statistics of Random Processes I, Springer, 1978.

[12] S-E. A, Mohammed. Stochastic Functional Differential Equations, Research Notes in Mathematics No.99 (Boston, London, Melbourne: Pitman Publishing), 1984.

[13] S-E. A, Mohammed. Stochastic Differential Equations with memory–theory, examples and applications, Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics 6. The Geido Workshop, Progress in probability, Birkhauser, 1996.

[14] S. Peng. A generalized dynamic programming principle and Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellmen equation, Stochastics and Stochastics Rep., 38 , 119–134, 1992.

[15] S. Peng. A general stochastic maximum principle for optimal control problem, SIAM J. Control and Optimization, 28, 4, 966–979, 1990.

[16] S. Peng. Backward stochastic differential equations-stochastic optimization theory and viscosity solution of HJB equations, Topics on stochastic Analysis (in chinese), J. Yan, S. Peng, S. Fang, and L. Wu, eds., Science Press, Bejing, 85–138, 1997.

[17] S. Peng. Backward stochastic differential equations and applications to optimal control, Appl Math Optim, 27, 125–144, 1993.

[18] H. J. Pesch and M. Plail. The maximum principle of optimal control: a history of ingenious ideas and missed opportunities, Control and Cybernetics, 38, 2009.

[19] R. B. Vinter. Optimal control, Birkhauser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 2000.

[20] Z. Wu, Z. Yu. Dynamic Programming Principle for one kind of stochastic recursive optimal control problem and Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation. SIAM J. Control Optim., 47, 2616–2641, 2008.

[21] S. Yang. The maximum principle for stochastic differential systems with general cost functional. Systems and Control Letters, 90, 1–6, 2016.

[22] S. Yang. The deterministic maximum principle for differential systems with a general cost functional. Optim. Control Appl. Meth. 2016. DOI: 10.1002/oca.2266.

[23] S. Yang. The necessary and sufficient conditions for stochastic differential systems with multi-time states cost functional. Submitted. 2016.

[24] J. Yong, X. Zhou. Stochastic controls: Hamiltonian systems and HJB equations. Stochastic differential equations an introduction with applications. New York, NY: Springer Verlag. 1999.

[25] J. Yong. Optimality Variational Principle for Controlled Forward-Backward Stochastic Differential Equations with Mixed Initial-Terminal Conditions. SIAM J. Control Optim., 48, 4119–4156, 2010.

[26] X. Zhou. Deterministic near-optimal controls, part I: Necessary and sufficient conditions for near-optimality, Journal of Optimization Theory Applications, 85, 473–488, 1995.

[27] X. Zhou. Deterministic near-optimal controls, part II: Dynamic programming and viscosity solution approach, Mathematics of Operations Research, 21, 655–674, 1996.

[28] X. Y. Zhou. Stochastic near-optimal controls: Necessary and sufficient conditions for near-optimality, SIAM J. Control Optim., 39, 929–947, 1998.

[29] X. Zhou, S. Sethi. A sufficient condition for near optimal stochastic controls and its applications to manufacturing systems, Applied Mathematics Optimization, 29, 67–92, 1994.